

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

JAMES BONNY,

Defendant.

Case No. CR03-5491

ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO
WITHDRAW OF APPOINTED
COUNSEL AND REVISING
BRIEFING SCHEDULE

Before the Court is the motion of court appointed counsel for leave to withdraw. The Court, having reviewed the motion and brief, and balance of the record, finds that good cause exists to allow withdrawal of appointed counsel consistent with the principles set forth in *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 2094 (1967). If Appellant James Bonny wishes to proceed with this appeal, he may proceed *pro se* and must file his opening brief according to the revised briefing schedule set forth in this Order.

ACCORDINGLY,

IT IS ORDERED:

- (1) The motion of appointed counsel to withdraw (Dkt.#31) is **GRANTED**;
- (2) The briefing schedule is revised and set as follows:

Appellant opening brief due: June 20, 2005


Appellee brief due: July 5, 2005

Appellant reply (optional) due: July 18, 2005

Briefs must be filed in the clerk's office by the due date. Oral argument will be scheduled in this appeal only upon the granting of a motion pursuant to Local Rule, CrR 58(b)(2)(F); and

(3) The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to all counsel and to Appellant James Bonny.

DATED this 19th day of May, 2005.


FRANKLIN D. BURGESS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE